ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M08274 Client: Alaskan Copper Works

Date Received: 01/26/12 Project: Metro Self Monitor, M08274, F&BI 201270

Date Extracted: 01/27/12 Lab ID: 201270-01 x10
Date Analyzed: 01/27/12 Data File: 201270-01 x10.040

Matrix: Water Instrument: ICPMS1 Units: ug/L (ppb) Operator: AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 94 60 125

Germanium 94 60

Concentration

 Analyte:
 ug/L (ppb)

 Chromium
 311

 Nickel
 249

 Copper
 638

 Zinc
 29.1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank
Date Received: Not Applicable

Date Extracted: 01/26/12
Date Analyzed: 01/27/12
Matrix: Water
Units: ug/L (ppb)

Water
ug/L (ppb)

Client: Alaskan Copper Works
Project: Metro Self Monitor, M08274, F&BI 201270

Lab ID: I2-69 mb
Data File: I2-69 mb.044
Instrument: ICPMS1
Operator: AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 95 60 125

Concentration
Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1
Nickel <1
Copper <1
Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/31/12 Date Received: 01/26/12

Project: Metro Self Monitor, M08274, F&BI 201270

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 201236-01 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)		
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	106	118	67-132	11		
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	106	118	73-119	11		
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.74	107	119	50-144	11		
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	49.4	105 b	125 b	46-148	17 b		

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

		Percent									
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance							
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria							
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	102	66-135							
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	103	67-134							
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	103	66-134							
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	101	57-135							

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ${\rm ip}$ Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- ${
 m ir}$ The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

20) 270 	S. C. S. C. S.			-	- Control	- 1	****	1	7.4] [1 84	TEN	Kou	GUND TIME						
Company ALASK	PROJECT NAMENO. Metro Self Moniton M08274 REMARKS								4												
ity, State, EIP Sea hone # 2063H-6									1 10	BAMPLE DAY OFAL Dispose after 30 days Battari samples Will end with instructions											
		Ĺ								1	LYS	BB I	T	100	BD	•					
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of captainers	TPH-Dased	TPM-Ganome	BTEX by 80213	VOCs by 8960	SYCCs by 8878	HEFS	20 CL NES	2047					Notes			
4580M	01	1/26/12	12:70	tho	7				1			D					- 1. 3.	se to the se			
1000.11		70910	12.5					1	1		1										
1 2							-	1	- International Control	, in the second	1	1						3))			
			-			\vdash	-	+	4	4	4	\dashv				+					
								1	1	1	1	1				1	82				
							1					1									
													1					,			
i Karthada da wakan wasa sayaa sa											1						· · · ·				
obnon & Brogg, Inc.	1.		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				1				1			4363]	-1	and the same	C SERVE AN			
2 18th Avenue West	Refrigers and by			- GIERRIO THONGEN ACI					\	AA X	MY DATE //26/12			1:42							
Me, WA 91119-2029	Managed by:	Dou							8	I	982321	1	u								
(386) 296-2002	Helinquished by:	1							1 44 15 1 4 5 1 6 6 1 1 6						1		P				
(204) 263-5044	Received by:				1 14	ere elektron		PIG.		1			100		on el 180 Fac	1					

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

January 31, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on January 26, 2012 from the Metro Self Monitor, M08274, F&BI 201270 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0131R.DOC